

Transcend Level 2 Award in the Routine Visual Inspection of Playground and Activity Facilities

> Qualification Specification Version 03: 08 November 2023





### Welcome!

Welcome to the **Transcend Level 2 Award in the Routine Visual Inspection of Playground and Activity Facilities**. Transcend is an Ofqual regulated awarding organisation, and this is a vocational qualification that sits on the Ofqual register of regulated qualifications. This specification introduces the qualification purpose and delivery conditions. It must be read in conjunction with the *Transcend Centre Recognition Conditions*, *Qualification Unit Specification* and *Assessment Materials*.

Part 1: Qualification Purpose Statement		Page
Qualification scope		03
Qualification summary		05
Qualification structure		06
Part 2: Qualification Delivery Condition	ons	
Qualification resources	Supplementary to Transcend Centre Recognition Condition 5.1	07
Qualification coordination	Supplementary to Transcend Centre Recognition Condition 5.2	08
Qualification delivery models	Supplementary to Transcend Centre Recognition Condition 5.3	10
Qualification assessment tools	Supplementary to Transcend Centre Recognition Condition 5.4	11
Qualification quality assurance	Supplementary to Transcend Centre Recognition Condition 5.5	14
Appendix		
Appendix	Qualification specification version control	19



# Part 01: Qualification Purpose

Qualification	Scope			
	<b>I 2 Award in the Routine Visual Inspe</b> iject area 01.4 Public services.	ection of Playground ar	<b>nd Activity Facilities</b> is an	Ofqual regulated vocational qualification
learners aged 18+ an		bathway as an inspector	or to enhance scope of pra	und and Activity facilities. It is relevant to actice in a current role. Learners are likely ecruits.
Qualification regulation	This qualification is regulated by Ofqual in England. It is published as a Level 2 qualification on the <u>Register of Regulated</u> <u>Qualifications</u> and is equivalent to a Level 3 on the <u>European Qualifications Framework (EQF)</u> .			
	Regulator reference	603/5311/9	Qualification sector	1.4 Public services
	Qualification start date	01 Jan 2020	Qualification TQT	20
	Qualification review date	31 Aug 2026	Qualification GLH	06
	Qualification credit	2	Qualification DSH	14
Qualification awarding	This qualification is solely awarded by <u>Transcend Awards</u> as the recognised awarding organisation regulated by Ofqual.			
Qualification standards	This qualification is aligned to Health and Safety Legislation and The British and European Standard for Playground Equipment and Surfacing is BS EN 1176.			
Qualification partner	This qualification is developed in collaboration with industry specialist <b>RYNAT</b> as the technical partner. It is validated in consultation with equality experts, industry inspectors, employers and education providers. The collaboration and consultation groups remain in place for the lifetime of the qualification to ensure it remains fit for purpose.			



Qualification providers	This qualification is delivered by health and safety educators that have been approved by RYNAT. These educators mugain recognised centre status and be approved by the awarding organisation to deliver the qualification. Application recognised centres are all required to evidence compliance with the centre recognition and qualification delivery condigain and retain status.			
	Applicant cent	res	Recognised centres	
		nscend Awarding Website to submit a tion enquiry to initiate the process.	Access the Transcend Awarding Management System [TAMS] to create and submit the Transcend Recognised Centre Extension Request Form.	
Qualification suite participants +		<b>u</b>	ho wish to carry out routine visual inspections of Playground and activity hes, members of a school, council or private inspectorate workforce.	
progression	Employability progression routes	This qualification may lead to paid employment as a routine visual inspector of playgrounds and activity facilities. It may also be used as professional development opportunity for those with an interest in health and safety to add routine visual inspections to optimise scope of practice.		
	Education progression routes	This qualification is integral to a progressive suite of regulated qualifications is comprised of: Transcend Level 1 Award in the Legal Duty to Inspect Playgrounds and Activity Facilities Transcend Level 2 Award in the Routine Visual Inspection of Playgrounds and Activity Facilities Transcend Level 3 Award in the Operational Inspection of Playgrounds and Activity Facilities Transcend Level 5 Certificate Maintaining Safety Standards in the DIIM <sup>1</sup> of Playgrounds Transcend Level 5 Certificate Maintaining Safety Standards in the DIIM of Activity Facilities Transcend Level 5 Diploma in Maintaining Safety Standards in the DIIM of Playgrounds and Activity Facilities		
Qualification endorsements	This qualificati	on is supported by <b><u>RYNAT</u></b> and <u><b>Ten-Group</b></u> as industry leading employers and education providers in this domain.		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Design, Installation, Inspection and Maintenance,



Qualification S	Summary
Qualification purpose	The purpose of this qualification is to prepare for employment. The sub purpose of this qualification is to prepare for employment in a specific occupational area.
Qualification rationale	The rationale for the development, delivery and awarding of this qualification is to facilitate the professionalisation and growth of the playground and activity facility inspection sector.
Qualification aim	The aim of this qualification is to enable learners to develop the skills required to fulfil the role of a routine visual inspector of playground and activity facilities.
Qualification objective	<ul> <li>The objective of this qualification is to provide a benefit to learners by developing the skills required to fulfil an occupational role. Learners will develop knowledge and skills that will enable them to schedule, execute and report on:</li> <li>routine visual inspections of playgrounds</li> <li>routine visual inspections of activity facilities</li> </ul> Successful learners will be compliant with Health and Safety Law and BS-EN standards in the routine visual inspection of outdoor playgrounds and activity facilities. Successful learners will be eligible to use these skills to gain employment or to enhance their current scope of practice.
Qualification uniqueness	This is the only regulated qualification available to confirm competence of routine visual inspectors of playground and activity facilities. The <u>Register of Regulated Qualifications</u> provides information on other awarding organisation providers who offer similar provision.



### Qualification Structure

This qualification is comprised of two mandatory units. To achieve this qualification learners must successfully achieve both mandatory units. The title of the qualification on the certificate will represent achievement of the qualification will be displayed as the **Transcend Level 2 Award in the Routine Visual Inspection of Playground and Activity Facilities.** 

Unit T	itle	Ofqual ref	Level	GLH	DSH	TUT	Credit
U01Routine visual inspection of playgroundsH/617/8870		2	03	07	10	01	
U02	Routine visual inspection of activity facilities	K/617/8871	2	03	07	10	01
Summ	Summary			06	14	20	02
Total	Total Qualification Time [TQT]			20	) Hour	S	



# Part 02: Qualification Delivery Specification

### **Qualification Delivery Conditions**

This qualification must be delivered in accordance with the *Transcend Recognised Centre Conditions*. The rules outlined below are supplementary conditions specific to this qualification. Centres must ensure full compliance with ALL conditions to achieve and maintain centre recognition and qualification approval status.

Qualification Resource Conditions

The recognised centre is required to supplement RC5.1 of the *Transcend Recognised Conditions* with the following rules.

Qualification workforce	The recognised centre must ensure that they evidence workforce competence through tutor, assessor and IQA qualifications as outlined in the recognition conditions. Occupational and technical competence must be evidenced through a minimum of 2 years' experience as an inspector in this remit and the achievement of a recognised qualification at level 5 in health and safety. The recognised centre is required to ensure the tutor/assessor to learner ratio per cohort is a maximum of 1:24 with no minimum	
	ratio. In exceptional circumstances, the awarding organisation will allow adaptations to this requirement to prevent disadvantage to learners and only where the recognised centre can evidence that learning and assessment will not be compromised.	
Qualification venues	The recognised centre must have venues, facilities, and equipment to cater for the breadth of the training and assessment programme.	



Qualificat	ation Coordination Conditions					
The recognise	ed centre is requ	uired to supplement RC5.2 of the <b>Transcend Recognised Centre Conditions</b> with the following rules.				
Learner pre- requisites	<ul> <li>Prior to registration for the qualification learners are required to:</li> <li>be accurately identified</li> <li>be at least 18 years of age</li> <li>be able to communicate effectively in English [reading, spoken, listening and writing].</li> <li>be able to evidence interest in safety inspections as a career pathway or to enhance scope of current role</li> </ul>					
Learner       The recognised centre is required to conduct an initial assessment to identify barriers to access for learners with characteristics as defined in the Equality Act 2010. The following barriers are justified for safety purposes and include the age of 18 are not permitted to attend this qualification for safety purposes adjustments to this barrier can be applied.						
	Race       Individuals who do not communicate in English to an appropriate standard when registered in England will not eligible to attend for regulatory purposes. As a result, no adjustments to this barrier can be applied.					
	<b>Disability</b> Individuals with psychological, physiological, or sociological disabilities may find some competencies difficult of dangerous which may compromise their wellbeing. Such cases must be evaluated to determine whether reasonability adjustments can be applied. Adjustments to this barrier will be applied where it is safe to do so.					
	Reasonable a <b>Adjustments</b>	djustments must be submitted to the awarding organisation on a case-by-case basis in line with <b>Transcend Reasonable</b> <b>Policy.</b>				



٦

Learner registration agreement	The recognised centre is required to register learners within 20 working days of the start date of their programme. The registration period is 1 year. Learners must sign an agreement to attend all aspects of training and assessment programme in line with the recognised centre's delivery model.
Learner attendance and achievement	The recognised centre is required to record all activities including the booking reference, dates, venues, and workforce per learner. Activities refer to applications, initial assessment, learner agreements, pre-course learning, attendance on workshops and assessments.
records	Activities also refer to recording dates and details of receipt of learner portfolios, assessment marking, recording of results, confirming results, reassessments, remarks, and any quality assurance intervention.
	All activities and records must be completed within the learner registration period and subject to effective internal control before making a claim for certification for each learner.



#### **Qualification Delivery Conditions**

The recognised centre is required to supplement RC5.3 of the **Transcend Recognised Centre Conditions** with the following rules.

Qualification delivery model

The recognised centre is required to document their delivery models. They are eligible to adopt the exemplar delivery model provided by the awarding organisation as outlined below. Alternatively, the recognised centre can develop their own model to retain their own USPs. All delivery models must be supported by a documented, training and assessment programme. Inclusive teaching and learning materials should be in place. Delivery models, programmes and materials must be mapped to the qualification and unit specification. They must comply with the TQT, GLH, learning outcomes, assessment criteria, delivery content and assessment attainment indicators. The delivery models, programmes and materials must be agreed in writing by the awarding organisation prior to delivery. The selected model for each cohort of learners must be recorded and all details communicated to each learner prior to attendance on the agreed programme.

Pre-course		Morning Afternoon		
Reading Essentials from the	1.5 GLH	Legal requirements and general standards	1 GLH	Assessment: Routine Visual Inspection General
BS EN 1176	1.5 GLH	Legal requirements and specific standards	1 GLH	Assessment: Routine Visual Inspection <b>Specific</b>
			1 GLH	Assessment: Role Review
14 Hours [DSH		3 GLH		3 GLH
GL: 06 DS: 14 TQT: 20				
he delivery models must enable all learning, assessment, any reassessment, and quality assurance activities per learner to b completed within a two-year registration period.				



Qualification Assessment Conditi	ons
----------------------------------	-----

The recognised centre is required to supplement RC5.4 of the **Transcend Recognised Centre Conditions** with the following rules. The assessment framework for this qualification is externally set by the awarding organisation and marked internally by a qualified assessor within the recognised centre.

Assessment strategy	-		ensure the following assessment strategy is comp includes simulated practical demonstrations and	plied with. The assessment methods are comprised of d a performance review.	
	Strategy	Method	Activity		
	Strategy 01	Practical demonstration [simulated]	<ul> <li>The learner must identify safety compliance an inspection of a playground. To do this the learn</li> <li>format a routine visual inspection schedule</li> <li>conduct a routine visual inspection</li> <li>record a routine visual inspection</li> </ul>		
	Strategy 02	Practical demonstration	The learner must identify safety compliance and non-compliances through a simulated routine visual inspection across the range of activity facilities.		
		[simulated]	The types of facility include: A parkour activity facility A multi-sport activity facility A skate sport activity facility An outdoor adult fitness activity facility	<ul> <li>For each simulation the learner must</li> <li>format a routine visual inspection schedule</li> <li>conduct a routine visual inspection</li> <li>record a routine visual inspection</li> </ul>	
	Strategy 03	Performance	The learner must analyse their ability to perform scope of practice.	orm the role of a routine visual inspector within their	



Assessment tasks	The recognised centre is required to use the awarding organisation assessment materials consistently in line with the guidance and rules. These are designed specifically to promote validity, reliability, manageability, comparability and to minimise any bias. To support learners in the achievement of the assessment tasks the Learner Assessment Portfolio [LAP] is available to all recognised centres.
	The recognised centres that wish to develop their assessment own materials must submit these to the awarding organisation for written approval prior to delivery. These must be supported by detailed mapping to the qualification and will be evaluated for validity purposes to ensure the level of demand in each task is accurate and comparable. The recognised centre must not use their own assessment materials without prior written approval from the awarding organisation.
Assessment environment	The recognised centre is required to ensure the assessment tasks are completed in a safe, secure education environment. The simulated practical demonstrations must be in real time in a physical face-to-face setting, or in a web-based face to face setting. Web-settings must only be used where learners are clearly identifiable, and steps are taken to prevent misrepresentation. Digital discussions must be recorded for external quality assurance purposes.
Assessment authentication	The recognised centre is required to ensure that assessment tasks are completed by the learner without support from others. All assessment must be their own work. Assessors can authenticate the work via simulated practical demonstrations.
Assessment marking	The recognised centre is required to ensure that the assessment is marked by a qualified assessor in accordance with the guidance, fair principles and VARCS rules of evidence. VARCS rules require the assessment to be valid, authentic, relevant, current, and sufficient. If learners are dissatisfied with the decision, they should follow their recognised centres appeals process.
Assessment attainment	The recognised centre is required to ensure that the qualifications are all graded on a PASS/FAIL basis. Assessment criteria and attainment indicators are confirmed in the unit specifications. Assessment guidance is provided for standardisation purposes. This is available to assessors to support valid and accurate results across assessors, recognised centres and over time. Learners must produce evidence against each task and must PASS each task to be awarded the qualification certificate.
Assessment re- takes	The recognised centre is required to ensure learners are granted three assessment opportunities for each component of assessment. They may be charged for reassessment at their recognised centre's discretion. All assessment and reassessment must be completed within the 1-year period of registration. If learners have not been confirmed as competent by this time, they will not be awarded the qualification.



Assessment re- marks	The recognised centre is required to enforce re-marks at the request of the internal or external moderators or in the event of a learner appeal. Where this is associated with a written piece of work, the recognised centre is required to arrange a remark by an alternative assessor. Where the two outcomes are different, we would expect that a third re-mark is completed. Where the recognised centre does not have this many qualified assessors, they are required to request support via the Transcend Engagement Team.
	Where the appeal is associated with the outcomes of the practical demonstration or an authentication discussion, the assessment records should be reviewed. It is then down to the discretion of the recognised centre to investigate and determine whether the assessment decision stands or whether the assessment decision should be overturned. Where internal moderation has been completed on that assessment, the decision should ideally stand unless evidence suggests otherwise. Only if learners have exhausted the recognised centre's appeals process should they bring the matter to the awarding organisation, where the appeal will be managed in accordance with the <i>Transcend Appeals Policy</i> .



#### Qualification Quality Assurance Conditions

The recognised centre is required to supplement RC5.5 of the **Transcend Recognised Centre Conditions** with the following rules. This qualification has been assigned a **medium-risk** profile based upon the key risk indicators below. The areas in green have been selected based upon the information contained in this specification.

Qualification Risk indicator	Low	Medium	High
Qualification purpose	Personal development	Professional development	Professional practice
Qualification size	Award	Certificate	Diploma
Qualification level	EL3 – L2	L3-L4	L5-8
Qualification assessment method	Portfolio, Practical, PD and Presentation	Portfolio and Practical or PD or Presentation	Portfolio only
Qualification assessment manageability	Real time assessment not required	Real time assessment on course/programme	Real time assessments in workplace
Qualification assessment authentication	Assessor present	Assessor part present	Assessor not present
Risk Profile		MEDIUM RISK	



Internal quality assurance	The recognised centre is required to have an internal quality assurance strategy in place which must consider: Internal scrutiny of qualification sites [safety checks] Internal scrutiny of qualification workforce [CPD checks] Internal scrutiny of qualification coordination [data <sup>2</sup> checks] Internal scrutiny of qualification delivery [teaching observations] Internal scrutiny of qualification assessment [risk-based moderation across all assessment tasks] Internal scrutiny of qualification assessment [standardisation activities across all assessment tasks]
Internal moderation	The recognised centre is required to conduct risk-based internal moderation activities. Internal moderation is defined as a form of <b>Centre Assessment Standards Scrutiny</b> for assessment, which is marked by the centre to ensure that standards have been maintained and to adjust results where they have not. The recognised centre is required to ensure that Internal moderation takes place for each cohort before results are reported to the awarding organisation and requests for certification are made. Sampling strategies must consider the following key risk indicators:
	<ul> <li>the risk profile for this qualification which is MEDIUM</li> <li>the experience of assessors in their role as an assessor</li> <li>the experience of assessors in assessing this qualification</li> <li>the assessment samples to include portfolios for every learner cohort</li> <li>the assessment samples to include periodic observation of simulated practical demonstrations</li> <li>the number of learners registered and whether these attend a course or rolling programme.</li> </ul> The recognised centre should identify a percentage of learners to be sampled per assessor per course OR a percentage of learners to be sampled per assessor per course OR a percentage of learners to be sampled per assessor on rolling programmes within a set period. The set period should be selected based upon the number of learners due for certification.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Data checks must as a minimum consider timely and accurate coordination of the course/programmes and must refer to the delivery venue, fair recruitment, initial assessment, access arrangements, learner agreements, allocation of workforce, attendance, retention, achievements across the assessment and reassessments for each task aligned to the assessment strategy, internal moderation dates, results reporting and certification requests. Data must be captured and subject to internal control for every learner prior to requests for certification being made.



External quality assurance	The recognised centre is required to cooperate with the external quality assurance activities conducted by the awarding organisation workforce. The external quality assurance strategy determines the nature, frequency and sampling sizes as shown:
	Scrutiny of the qualification workforce competence checks on 100% bookings Scrutiny of the qualification delivery venue and facility safety via risk-based external <b>monitoring</b> activities. Scrutiny of the qualification coordination timings and accuracy via risk-based external <b>monitoring</b> activities. Scrutiny of the qualification delivery to prepare learners for assessment via risk-based external <b>monitoring</b> activities. Scrutiny of the qualification assessment standards via risk-based external <b>moderation</b> interventions. Scrutiny of the qualification internal quality assurance via risk-based external <b>moderation</b> interventions.
	The <b>external monitoring</b> activities take place during the external <b>moderation</b> interventions. This enables us to evaluate compliance across the breadth of the centre recognition and supplementary qualification approval conditions at the same time as scrutinizing the assessment standards. This enables us to maximise the application of our resources.
	Our <b>external quality assurance reports</b> may be communicated per moderation intervention in a matrix via email or via the web based in the Transcend Awarding Management System [TAMS]. This is dependent on the number of learners to be certificated and the level of compliance of the recognised centre in the delivery of the qualification. We will collaborate with each centre to determine this. The rationale is to optimise certification efficiencies. Where a matrix approach is adopted, these will be collated periodically and uploaded into TAMS for quality and audit purposes. To ensure moderation outcomes are fully aligned to the course or cohort we will report the result of each intervention in the relevant <b>Booking Forms</b> in TAMS. This is to ensure records of moderated results are accurately, effectively, and efficiently captured and can be viewed by the recognised centre and the awarding organisation workforce.



External moderation	The recognised centre is required to cooperate with the awarding organisation's risk-based external moderation interventions. External moderation is defined as a form of <b>Centre Assessment Standards Scrutiny</b> for assessment which is marked by the centre to ensure that standards have been maintained and to adjust results where they have not. The moderation strategies confirmed here are associated with the assessor's experience in delivering this qualification, the <b>medium-risk</b> profile assigned to the qualification and the recognised centre's qualification approval risk profile.			
	The strategies have been designed to confirm the scrutiny methods [moderation of portfolios and real time simulat demonstrations] the frequency of these interventions and the sample size [number of learners and assessment tasks].			
	Strategy 01: First assessment per assessor [aligned to the product risk profile which is MEDIUM]			
External moderation of portfolios	Courses	40% of 30 learners assessment to be moderated across 100% tasks within the LAP <b>per learner</b> for each new assessor		
0. po	Programmes	40% of 30 learners assessment to be sampled across 100% tasks within the LAP <b>per learner</b> for each new assessor		
	Strategy 02a: COURSE MODEL Ongoing assessments per assessor [based on recognised centre product approval risk profiles]			
	Low risk	20% learners' assessment to be moderated across 100% tasks within the LAR <b>across</b> learners for each assessor <b>per</b> booking		
	Medium risk	25% learners' assessment to be moderated across 100% tasks within the LAR <b>across</b> learners for each assessor <b>per</b> booking		
	High risk	30% learners' assessment to be moderated across 100% tasks within the LAR <b>across</b> learners for each assessor <b>per</b> booking		
	Strategy 02b:	PROGRAMME MODEL Ongoing assessments per assessor [based on recognised centre product approval risk profiles]		
	Low risk	20% learners' assessment to be moderated across 100% tasks within the LAR <b>across</b> learners for each assessor <b>across</b> bookings		
	Medium risk	25% learners' assessment to be moderated across 100% tasks within the LAR <b>across</b> learners for each assessor <b>across</b> bookings		
	High risk	30% learners' assessment to be moderated across 100% tasks within the LAR <b>across</b> learners for each assessor <b>across</b> bookings		



External moderation of real time assessments	time assessment is assessments are: • Simulated pract	ategies for real time assessment are associated with the <b>medium-risk</b> profile assigned to this qualification. Real defined as assessments where the learner and the assessor are present. In this qualification the real time ical demonstration of a routine visual inspection of a playground ical demonstration of a routine visual inspection of the range of activity facilities	
	Real time assessment strategy		
	RTA Strategy 01	<b>EQA Presence and moderation annually</b> as a minimum to all centres, for this qualification, for each real time assessment.	
	RTA Strategy 02	<b>EQA Presence and moderation biannually</b> per centre, for this qualification for each real time assessment method where there are more than 08 courses or 200 learners on rolling programmes.	



## Appendix: Qualification Specification Version Control

This document is subject to version control. We will request feedback from centres in advance of any change. We will then inform centres of the changes made in advance and will re-publish the specification via our website. All changes will be tracked here and confirmed as an updated version.

Version	Publication date	Publication details
Version 01	01 Jan 2020	Publication 01
Version 02	01 Sep 2021	<b>Publication 02:</b> The specification has been updated into the new format. The quality assurance conditions have been updated to include the <b>Centre Assessment Standards Scrutiny [CASS] Strategy</b> ,
Version 03	08 Nov 2023	<b>Publication 03:</b> The qualifcaion and unit titles updated for accuracy and consistency with the level 5 qualifications in the portfolio. This was agreed by the technical partners and an industry employer prior to making the change via the Ofqual portal for validity purposes.